Interview Summary	Application No. 10/725,837	Applicant(s) REID, TONY	
	Examiner Quang D. Thanh	Art Unit 3764	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Quang D. Thanh*.

(3)_____.

(2) *James Heslin*.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: *13 October 2004*.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *1 and 5*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Myers 814,795*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *Applicant proposed to add new language such that " the second sleeve is configured to be slid over substantially the entire length of the first sleeve" in order to clearly define over the prior art of Myers. Applicant is informed that a new search would be required after formal amendment. .*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

_____
Examiner's signature, if required